

AD-A082 138

HONEYWELL INC MINNEAPOLIS MN DEFENSE ELECTRONICS DIV

F/G 11/2

EFFECT OF ZR02 SOURCE VARIATIONS ON PBZRO3 - PBT103 PIEZOELECTR--ETC(U)

JAN 80 W B HARRISON; M P MURPHY

N00014-76-C-0623


UNCLASSIFIED

47602

NL

1 of 1
40
AUG 20

END
DATE
FILMED
4-80
DTIC



MICROCOPY RESOLUTION TEST CHART
NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS 1963-A

LEVEL IV

EFFECT OF Z-O, SOURCE VARIATIONS ON
PbZrO₃, PbTiO₃, PIEZOELECTRIC PROPERTIES

Final Report
and
Technical Report No. 2
to the
Office of Naval Research

by
W.B. Harrison

Contract N00014-76-C-0623 NR 032-566

August 1979

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted
for any purpose of the United States Government.

This document has been approved
for public release and sale; its
distribution is unlimited.

Honeywell Inc.
Ceramics Center
Defense Electronics Division
1885 Douglas Drive
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55422

410542

80 2 19 102

AD A082138

DOC FILE COPY

DTIC
MAR 19 1980

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (WHEN DATA ENTERED)

| REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE | | READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM |
|---|---------------------------|--|
| 1. REPORT NUMBER 6 | 2. GOV'T ACCESSION NUMBER | 3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER |
| 4. TITLE (AND SUBTITLE) Effect of ZrO_2 Source Variations on $PbZrO_3$ - $PbTiO_3$ Piezoelectric Properties. 9 | | 5. TYPE OF REPORT/PERIOD COVERED Final Mar 1976 ^{rept.} to 31 May 1979 |
| 7. AUTHOR(S) W.B./Harrison M.P.T./Murphy | | 6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER 47602 |
| 9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATIONS NAME/ADDRESS Honeywell Inc., Ceramics Center Defense Electronics Division 1885 Douglas Drive, Golden Valley, MN 55422 | | 8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) 15 N00014-76-C-0623 NR 032-566 |
| 11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME/ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of Navy Code 471, Washington D.C., 20390 11 | | 10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS |
| 14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME/ADDRESS (IF DIFFERENT FROM CONT. OFF.) 12 51 | | 12. REPORT DATE Jan 1976 1980 |
| 16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (OF THIS REPORT) Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for any purpose of the United States Government. | | 13. NUMBER OF PAGES 45 |
| 17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (OF THE ABSTRACT ENTERED IN BLOCK 20, IF DIFFERENT FROM REPORT) | | 15. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION (OF THIS REPORT) Unclassified |
| 18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES 14 47602, TR-2 | | 16. DECLASSIFICATION DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE |
| 19. KEY WORDS (CONTINUE ON REVERSE SIDE IF NECESSARY AND IDENTIFY BY BLOCK NUMBER) Zirconium Oxide, Coprecipitation, Piezoelectric Ceramics, Transducers, High Drive PZ-PT | | |
| 20. ABSTRACT (CONTINUE ON REVERSE SIDE IF NECESSARY AND IDENTIFY BY BLOCK NUMBER) ZrO ₂ derived from three zircon source minerals and many process variations was physically and chemically analyzed. The impact of the ZrO ₂ variations obtained was then evaluated in both dry and wet blended lead zirconate- lead titanate, high drive type piezoelectric compositions. Proper puri- fication and blending of the ZrO ₂ was shown to yield PZ-PT material with uniform low and high drive piezoelectric behavior. Single precipitated ZrO ₂ and dry blending were shown to be highly variable processes. Also, solution ceramic approaches produced a higher density PZ-PT. | | |

HD-168 REV 11/74


DD FORM 1 JAN 73 1473 EDITION OF 1 NOV 55 IS OBSOLETE

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (WHEN DATA ENTERED)

410542

Lhu

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (WHEN DATA ENTERED)



SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (WHEN DATA ENTERED)

Abstract

ZrO₂, derived from three zircon source minerals and many process variations, was physically and chemically analyzed. The impact of the ZrO₂ variations obtained was then evaluated in both dry and wet blended lead zirconate-lead titanate, high drive type piezoelectric compositions. Proper purification and blending of the ZrO₂ was shown to yield PZ-PT material with uniform low and high drive piezoelectric behavior. Single precipitated ZrO₂ and dry blending were shown to be highly variable processes. Also, solution ceramic approaches produced a higher density PZ-PT.

| | |
|---|----------------------|
| Accession For | |
| NTIS GRA&I <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/> | |
| DDC TAB <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Unannounced <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| Justification <i>Per</i> <input type="checkbox"/> | |
| By <i>the on file</i> | |
| Distribution/ | |
| Availability Codes | |
| Dist | Avail and/or special |
| <i>A</i> | |

Table of Contents

| Section | | Page |
|---------|--|------|
| I | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| II | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURE | 2 |
| | A. Reprecipitation of $\text{ZrO}_2 \cdot \text{XH}_2\text{O}$ | 5 |
| | B. Coprecipitation of ZrO_2 into PZ-PT | 7 |
| | C. Chemical Analysis of Lead Zirconate Titanate | 9 |
| III | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 11 |
| | A. Surface Area of ZrO_2 | 12 |
| | B. Chemical Uniformity of Fired PZ-PT | 15 |
| | C. Reprecipitated ZrO_2 in PZ-PT | 19 |
| | D. Coprecipitation of PZ-PT | 26 |
| IV | SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS | 33 |
| V | ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | 34 |

List of Illustrations

| Figure | | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1 | Flow diagram for ZrO_2 production. | 4 |
| 2 | Typical particle size distribution curve for ZrO_2 obtained by MSA approach. | 5 |
| 3 | A ZrO_2 surface area as a function on calcination temperature. | 12 |
| 4 | Influence of surface area on the dry pressed density of ZrO_2 . | 14 |
| 5 | Influence of ZrO_2 surface area on the dry pressed and final PZ-PT densities. | 16 |
| 6 | The impact of PZ-PT blending procedure on final density. | 17 |
| 7 | Zr/Ti ratio versus frequency constant for PZ-PT produced from various ZrO_2 . | 27 |

List of Tables

| Table | | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1 | Batch additions for reprecipitation of zirconium hydroxide. | 7 |
| 2 | Batch formulations using chemical solutions of zirconium. | 9 |
| 3 | Within batch chemical uniformity for six samples of wet blended PZ-PT using Florida precipitated ZrO_2 . | 10 |
| 4 | Surface area of ZrO_2 in square meters per gram. | 13 |
| 5 | X-ray diffraction results on final PZ-PT compositions. | 18 |
| 6 | Chemical impurities in ZrO_2 powder. | 21 |
| 7 | Physical properties of ZrO_2 powders. | 21 |
| 8 | Chemical composition of fired PZ-PT. | 22 |
| 9 | Density and unpoled dielectric constant of PZ-PT. | 23 |
| 10 | Poled dielectric constant of PZ-PT. | 23 |
| 11 | Piezoelectric radial coupling coefficient of PZ-PT. | 24 |
| 12 | Piezoelectric frequency constant of PZ-PT. | 24 |
| 13 | Mechanical quality factor of PZ-PT. | 25 |
| 14 | High drive properties of PZ-PT. | 25 |
| 15 | Properties of TNBZ/TNBT derived ZrO_2/TiO_2 powders. | 30 |
| 16 | Chemical uniformity of TNBZ/TNBT coprecipitated PZ-PT batches. | 30 |
| 17 | Fired piezoelectric properties of PZ-PT prepared from coprecipitated ZrO_2/TiO_2 . | 31 |

I. Introduction

Most of the Navy's active and passive transducers contain lead zirconate-lead titanate (PZ-PT) ceramic elements. These materials have been used extensively in transducers because they are capable of operating at both low and high frequencies, high stress amplitudes, high powers and large bandwidths at high efficiencies. Where these properties are required, PZ-PT ceramics are the most cost-effective approach known. However, there are still certain limitations in the uniformity of performance, related primarily to the variability of the source of ZrO_2 used. This program was initiated to study the source of variability in ZrO_2 and its impact on the piezoelectric performance of a typical high drive projector type PZ-PT composition. The impact of ZrO_2 derived from various zircon sources, in both a dry and wet blend PZ-PT batching approach, was evaluated.



II. Experimental Procedure

Honeywell's approach to this study was based on a well-established capability for producing piezoelectric ceramic materials used in various Navy and DoD programs over the past 20 years. Two approaches, described in the first technical report⁽¹⁾ on this program, were used to generate ZrO_2 for this study. Initially, zircon ($ZrO_2 \cdot SiO_2$) was obtained from three sources and processed into ZrO_2 by the standard commercial process used at the Harshaw Chemical Company* for piezoelectric grade ZrO_2 . In the second approach, the standard Honeywell alk-oxide process, which uses tetra-N-butyl zirconate (TNBZ), was used to produce ZrO_2 . The ZrO_2 produced by each of these processes was chemically and physically characterized, and then its impact on the behavior and properties of PZ-PT was evaluated as previously described⁽¹⁾. This section updates the previous work and describes new approaches employed in the contract's final period.

The chemical reactions involved in the standard approach used by Harshaw to produce ZrO_2 from zircon, described in the first technical report,⁽¹⁾ contained several errors. The correct version of these reactions is shown in Equations 1 through 8.



First Precipitation



Second Precipitation



Calcine



* Harshaw Chemical Company, Division of Gulf Oil Company, Cleveland, Ohio 44106

The exact details of the Harshaw process were not made available; however, since their process is based on the caustic fusion process, it is likely that it proceeds approximately as shown in Figure 1. This process has been described⁽²⁾ as follows:

"Caustic soda has been found to be a suitable agent for the decomposition of zircon sand (zirconium silicate). By using an optimum ratio of 1.1 parts by weight of caustic soda to 1 part of unground zircon sand and a furnace temperature of 650°C, about 90% of the zircon reacts to form sodium zirconate, sodium silicate and a small amount of sodium silicozirconate. This reaction takes between 1 and 2 hours to reach completion and can be carried out in a container fabricated from mild-steel plate. The resulting product is a light-colored granular material from which the water-soluble sodium silicates can be easily removed by a hot-water leaching operation. In practice, this granular product from the caustic fusion is first agitated with hot water in a steel tank and then fed directly to a horizontal solid-bowl continuous centrifuge, where an excellent and convenient separation of solids from liquids can be made. The water-insoluble zirconates are then dissolved in hot hydrochloric acid. Zirconyl chloride is crystallized from this solution at 250°C to remove iron, titanium, aluminum, some silica and other soluble impurities. The crystalline zirconyl chloride is separated from its mother liquor on a perforated basket centrifuge which gives a very dry crystal. These crystals are put into a water solution, which is clarified in order to remove most of the residual silica. The resulting pure zirconyl chloride solution may be processed further to give high-purity zirconium (or zirconyl) compounds, such as the oxide, fluoride, nitrate, sulfate and hydroxide."

An alternate approach, known as carbon arc fusion process, is also shown in Figure 1. The zirconium carbonitride produced is chlorinated to produce zirconium tetra chloride which is then processed into ZrO_2 . It has been stated⁽²⁾ that this process produces less pure material than the caustic fusion process.

The physical characteristics of the ZrO_2 powders produced during the last portion of this contract were studied in more detail by comparing the surface area obtained versus the materials' agglomerated particle size. The surface area was measured with a Micromeritics Model 2200 Analyzer using nitrogen gas absorption.

The agglomerated particle size was measured by the MSA centrifuge sedimentation approach⁽³⁾. A typical curve is shown in Figure 2. The dispersion approach used consisted of mixing 0.7 gm of ZrO_2 powder with one drop of Triton X-100, Dravan-C, and ethyl




Figure 1. Flow diagram for ZrO_2 production.




Figure 2. Typical particle size distribution curve for ZrO_2 obtained by MSA approach.

hexanol and then blending this mixture in a 30 percent acetone-70 percent H_2O solution. This, in turn, was added to the sedimentation liquid (water) in the MSA equipment.

It was assumed that the average particle size obtained was that of strong agglomerated particles of ZrO_2 , which probably approaches the particle diameter of the $ZrO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ particle from which the ZrO_2 was derived. This appears likely because basic zirconium sulfate particles are typically about $15 \mu m$ and the first stage precipitate particles were measured at $8-10 \mu m$ ⁽¹⁾.

It was also desirable to determine if further improvements in the ZrO_2 produced for PZ-PT could be obtained with additional manipulations of the precipitation process.

A. REPRECIPITATION OF $ZrO_2 \cdot xH_2O$

Since $ZrO_2 \cdot xH_2O$ was available from the six lots of Harshaw material produced from three zircon sources⁽¹⁾ each of these was reprecipitated by the following process.

Both of the first precipitate and second precipitate obtained as indicated in Equations 4 and 7 were dissolved in HCl according to Equation 5 to produce a solution of $ZrOOHCl$ in water. This was accomplished by heating 2000 cc of 50 percent HCl/water solution and slowly adding 1000 gm of precipitate to the solution while mixing. The solution was

boiled at 105°C for about 10 minutes to produce a straw-yellow clear solution. This solution was allowed to cool and stand several days during which time a slight amount of sediment settled to the bottom of the breaker. About 90 percent of the ZrOOHCl solution was carefully decanted from the beaker and the remaining 10 percent with sediment was discarded. It was assumed that most of the non-acid soluble impurities were removed by this process.

Next, ammonium hydroxide was added in 10 percent excess of the amount required to satisfy the amount of ZrO_2 present in the solution (400 to 600 cc). Precipitation of $\text{ZrO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ occurred rapidly. The precipitate was allowed to settle for a day; about 25 percent of the liquid remaining was decanted and then 2000 cc of water were added and decanted. This rinsing process was repeated seven times to produce a pH of about 7 in the solution. The precipitated material was dried at 150°C for 24 hours and then calcined at 900°C for 3 hours.

The first set of three batches of $\text{ZrO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was prepared from the first stage precipitates of material derived from Florida, Georgia and Australia zircon sources, as described above.

The second set of three was processed as above except that after the ZrOOHCl solution was boiled, an additional 2000 ml of water was added to the solution and allowed to settle 2 days.

The third set of three was produced from the Harshaw double precipitate. Since the double precipitates contained more ZrO_2 (less loss on ignition), 4500 grams of 60 percent HCl 40 percent water were mixed with 750 grams of precipitate. The remainder of the process was the same as the second set except 2000 to 2200 ml of $\text{NH}_4(\text{OH})$ was required to bring the precipitate to a neutral state. In the fourth and fifth sets of single and double precipitates, respectively, the ratio of HCl to ZrO_2 in each batch of ZrO_2 was held constant. The weight of ZrO_2 present was based upon its 1200°C loss on ignition. The exact batch additions are given in Table 1. This table also shows the amount of $\text{NH}_4(\text{OH})$ required to bring each batch to a neutral condition.


An improvement was also found in the way the ammonium hydroxide was added. The first 90 percent of the NH_4OH was added quickly but steadily to the solution during continuous mixing. After about 95 was added, the ZrO_2 became a coarse mud and more

Table 1. Batch additions for reprecipitation of zirconium hydroxide.

| Source of Zircon Type $ZrO_2 \cdot x H_2O$ Precipitate | FS4 Florida Single | GS4 Georgia Single | AS4 Australia Single | FD5 Florida Double | GD5 Georgia Double | AD5 Australia Double |
|--|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| HCl in ml | 2480 | 1980 | 1980 | 3174 | 2768 | 3000 |
| H ₂ O in ml | 3870 | 3168 | 3168 | 4974 | 4430 | 4800 |
| Based on LOI of | 31.9 | 44.4 | 44.4 | 10.8 | 22.2 | 15.7 |
| Gms ZrO_2 in 750 gm Precip | 511 | 417 | 417 | 669 | 584 | 632 |
| NH ₄ (OH) | 1650 | 1200 | 1300 | 1850 | 1650 | 1850 |

NH₄OH did not mix into the solution evenly. When the mixture became a coarse mud, NH₄OH was slowly added and mixed thoroughly before addition of more NH₄OH. When this approach was used, the material went from a coarse to a creamy mixture and the PH was readily controlled.

Each reprecipitated lot of zirconium hydroxide was calcined at 900°C for 3 hours. The 15 batches of ZrO_2 processed were physically and chemically evaluated and were wet blended into the standard PZ-PT batch formulation given below and described previously.⁽¹⁾



B. COPRECIPITATION OF ZrO_2 INTO PX-PT

In the first part of this program one batch of pure ZrO_2 and four batches with 0.02 to 0.36 percent of TiO_2 were prepared by coprecipitation from tetra N-butyl zirconate and titanate (TNBZ and TNBT).⁽¹⁾ However, the TNBZ used had an unusually high amount of silicon, iron and alumina. This work was repeated with a new lot of TNBZ. Three batches were made containing 0.00, 0.10 and 0.40 percent TiO_2 and two batches containing all of the Zr/Ti of the batch. In this process 800 gms of TNBZ/TNBT and 1400 gm of isopropyl alcohol were mixed together and then premixed water/acetic acid solution (5000 gm/300 cc) was slowly added to precipitate zirconium hydroxide. This mix was dried at 100°C and crushed and calcined at 500°C for 12 hours.

These materials were physically and chemically characterized and then processed by the wet blending method into the standard PZ-PT batch as was done previously⁽¹⁾. A batching error was made in which the TiO_2 added through the TNBT was not compensated in the four batches with TNBT. Therefore, a second group of five batches of PZ-PT was produced and evaluated.

Three other approaches were evaluated to determine if more uniform PZ-PT batches could be produced in a state where all the ingredients were in solution prior to precipitation of the ZrO_2 .

A stabilized ammonium zirconium carbonate (Bacote 20) and a zirconium acetate solution were obtained from Magnesium Elektron*. The loss on ignition (LOI) of these two solutions after heating to 1000°C for 1 hour was 79.93 and 77.92 percent, respectively. A second LOI indicated 79.95 and 77.76 percent, respectively.

Based on these results, two standard PZ-PT batches, Table 2, were prepared in a high intensity Waring blender. Each ingredient was added in the order given and mixed about 2 minutes before adding the next ingredient. After addition of the PbO and 10 minutes of mixing, the solution was poured into trays, dried at 190°C for 3 days, and calcined at 860°C for 5 hours. The Bacote 20 based material contained a black core that indicated incomplete oxidation of the carbon from the decomposed acetate. Therefore, both batches were crushed and recalcined at 800°C for 5 hours. This second treatment appeared to completely oxidize all the carbon in both materials.

In the next approach, material from either the Harshaw Florida first or second precipitate was dissolved in a boiling HCl /water solution as described earlier. A 250 gm batch of PZ-PT (standard composition) was prepared with 411 ml of ZrOOHCl solution (assayed previously to yield 50.84 gm of ZrO_2). An additional 100 cc of HCl and 200 cc of water were added to the ZrOOHCl solution and then 6.91 gm of strontium carbonate, 0.125 gm of Fe_2O_3 , and 29.37 gm of TiO_2 were added and mixed thoroughly in the order given. All of these appeared to go into solution quite well. Before adding 163.32 gm of PbO , an additional 300 cc of HCl and 600 cc of water were added. Then the PbO was slowly added, and heated to 105°C to attempt to get all the lead oxide in solution. However, very little of the PbO appeared to be dissolved. Urea was dissolved in water and added in an at-

* Magnesium Elektron Inc., Star Route A, Box 202-1, Flemington, N.J. 08822

Table 2. Batch formulations using chemical solutions of zirconium.

| | Amount Added | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------|--------|
| H ₂ O (cc) | 590 | 550 | 1250 |
| Zirconium Acetate (cc) | 916.6 | | |
| Bacote 20 (cc) | | 1012.6 | |
| SrCO ₃ (gm) | 27.68 | 27.68 | 6.91 |
| Fe ₂ O ₃ (gm) | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.125 |
| TiO ₂ (gm) | 117.50 | 117.50 | 29.37 |
| PbO (gm) | 654.67 | 654.67 | 163.32 |
| HCl (cc) | | | 400 |
| Zr OOHCl Solution (cc) | | | 411 |
| Urea (gm) | | | 650 |
| NH ₄ (OH) (gm) | | | 200 |

tempt to coprecipitate all of the ingredients. A total of 650 gm of urea and 450 cc of water were added with no apparent precipitation. Then 200 gm of ammonium hydroxide were added to achieve complete precipitation. The resulting mixture was dried at 120°C and calcined at 700°C for 5 hours.

While these three calcined batches appeared to be completely decomposed, they were extremely hard. No further work was done with this approach.

C. CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF LEAD ZIRCONATE TITANATE

X-ray fluorescence was used to determine the amount of PbO, SrO, TiO₂ and ZrO₂ present in fired disc of lead zirconate-lead titanate. A Diano XRD 410 unit was used to establish the standards and perform all subsequent analysis. A mask with an opening of 0.68 inch in diameter was placed over all samples. Standard curves were established from 10 samples where Pb was varied from 53.38 to 63.39 percent, Sr from 0.27 to 5.80, Ti from 4.71 to 7.40 and Zr from 14.10 to 20.56. All samples tested were fired, ground flat and had a diameter and thickness of 0.85 and 0.10 inches, respectively.

The sensitivity of this approach was evaluated by measuring six different samples prepared from the same batch of PZ-PT. The results obtained, after converting to an oxide basis, are shown in Table 3. A standard deviation of 0.4, 0.2, 0.3 and 0.02 was obtained for PbO, ZrO₂, TiO₂ and SrO, respectively. This table also indicates that the approach tends to give slightly high (1.0 percent) results for PbO, while the other data are slightly lower than the theoretical compounded batch.

Table 3. Within batch chemical uniformity for six samples of wet blended PZ-PT using Florida precipitated ZrO₂.

| S/N | % PbO | % ZrO ₂ | % TiO ₂ | % SrO |
|-------------|-------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 2 | 66.30 | 19.90 | 11.95 | 1.85 |
| 4 | 66.15 | 20.30 | 11.75 | 1.80 |
| 8 | 66.95 | 19.86 | 11.41 | 1.78 |
| 9 | 66.65 | 19.97 | 11.57 | 1.80 |
| 10 | 66.87 | 19.99 | 11.34 | 1.80 |
| 11 | 65.94 | 20.40 | 11.87 | 1.79 |
| \bar{x} | 66.48 | 20.07 | 11.65 | 1.80 |
| σ | 0.41 | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.02 |
| THEORETICAL | 65.46 | 20.38 | 11.72 | 1.94 |

III. Results and Discussion

In the first part of this study⁽¹⁾ it was shown that good high drive PZT could be fabricated from ZrO_2 , whether produced from Florida, Georgia or Australia beneficiated zircon sand. These sands varied significantly in their physical particle size and chemical purity, but an alkali fusion, double precipitate process produced ZrO_2 with adequate chemical purity and essentially the same ultimate particle size. Such fully processed materials also produced PZ-PT with uniform piezoelectric properties at both low and high field driving conditions.

ZrO_2 derived from only the first precipitate material proved to be very dependent upon the zircon source and calcination temperature. These ZrO_2 materials contained more silica, calcia and titania impurities which appeared to act as media for bonding small ($0.05\ \mu m$) crystallites into larger ($10.0\ \mu m$) agglomerates. When such ZrO_2 was used to produce PZ-PT, these large agglomerates apparently caused incomplete blending and densification of the PZ-PT and extensive variability in the piezoelectric behavior of the material produced.

It was also shown that the dry blending approach for producing PZ-PT from fully processed ZrO_2 is more difficult to control than the wet blending mixing approach. It is incorrect to assume that poorly blended materials can be calcined and then wet ground to achieve satisfactory PZ-PT piezoelectric material. For instance, all nine of the dry blended compositions produced had fired densities of 6.96 to 7.47 gm/cc as opposed to 7.49 to 7.52 gm/cc for the same ZrO_2 wet blended PZ-PT compositions. Also, the piezoelectric coupling coefficient was 13 to 51 percent lower than similar wet blended material.

While the differences between the single and double precipitated ZrO_2 was attributed to the higher silica content and higher average particle size of the single precipitate ZrO_2 , information on the surface area of the ZrO_2 was not available at that time. Therefore, the second portion of this effort was concerned with (1) a further analysis of the differences in the various ZrO_2 produced and (2) improvements in the ZrO_2 and PZ-PT by additional chemical processing.

A. SURFACE AREA OF ZrO_2

Figure 3 and Table 4 give the surface area of the ZrO_2 derived from various hydrates, sources of zircon sand and calcination temperatures. The TNBZ derived ZrO_2 produced at the Honeywell Ceramics Center and calcined at $500^\circ C$ had surface areas of 47 to 56 square meters per gram, which was about the same as that produced by calcining




Figure 3. ZrO_2 surface area as a function on calcination temperature.

Table 4. Surface area of ZrO₂ in square meters per gram.

| | Calcination Temperature °C | Micro-Pulverized | Florida Zircon | Georgia Zircon | Australia Zircon |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|------------------|
| First Precipitate | 600 | Yes | 50.7 | 48.9 | 63.4 |
| | 900 | No | 17.5 | | |
| | 900 | Yes | 27.3 | 25.7 | 29.4 |
| | 1200 | No | 1.66 | 1.19 | 0.57 |
| | 1200 | Yes | 1.79 | 1.47 | 0.98 |
| | 1320 | No | 0.74 | 0.79 | 0.86 |
| | 1320 | Yes | 1.03 | 0.84 | 0.83 |
| Second Precipitate | 600 | Yes | 51.0 | 38.8 | 37.6 |
| | 900 | No | 11.3 | | |
| | | Yes | 15.7 | 16.1 | 15.7 |
| | 1200 | No | 2.44 | 2.33 | 2.72 |
| | | Yes | 4.62 | 2.59 | |
| Harshaw Calcine | | Yes | 23.0 | 25.0 | 21.5 |
| Honeywell | | | | | |
| 2168 | 500 | Yes | 47.2 | | |
| 2169 | 500 | Yes | 54.0 | | |
| 2170 | 500 | Yes | 56.2 | | |
| 2171 | 500 | Yes | 45.7 | | |
| 2172 | 500 | Yes | 53.2 | | |

Harshaw's first stage zirconium hydrate at 600°C, but generally higher than the 600°C calcine second stage hydrate. It was shown previously⁽¹⁾ that the crystallites produced, after decomposition of the initial hydrate, are about 0.008 to 0.010 μm in size.

As the calcination temperature was increased, grain growth increased and surface area decreased, as shown in Figure 3. At the lower temperatures, ZrO₂ from the first stage precipitates generally had higher surface areas than the ZrO₂ from the second stage precipitates although crystalline sizes obtained were between 0.020 and 0.040 μm . This suggests that the purer double precipitates have more interfacial contact areas that are physically stronger than the single precipitates. The assumption is partially verified by the fact that the surface area of unmiconized ZrO₂ derived from Florida zircon was 17.5 and 11.3 M²/gm for the single and double precipitates, respectively, as opposed to 27.3 and 15.7 M²/gm for the micronized ZrO₂. Less new surface area was generated for the double precipitated ZrO₂. At 1200°C, the surface area of the first stage ZrO₂ produced was slightly less than the second stage ZrO₂ (0.57-1.66 versus 2.33-2.72 M²/gm).

The dry pressed density (after pressing at 2900 psi) of each type of ZrO_2 produced was also plotted in Figure 4 as a function of surface area of original ZrO_2 powder. The low surface area materials pressed to about 3.0-3.3 gm/cc, while the materials calcined below 1000°C produced pressed densities of 1.3-1.6 gm/cc. There appeared to be a slight dependency upon the purity difference between the first and second stage precipitates. A




Figure 4. Influence of surface area on the dry pressed density of ZrO_2 .

more dramatic difference is noted for the very impure TNBZ derived ZrO_2 , which pressed to 1.8-1.9 gm/cc.

After the various ZrO_2 materials were batched and processed into the standard PZ-PT formulation, the dry pressed and fired density of each batch was determined and plotted as a function of the surface area of the ZrO_2 used. These data are presented in Figure 5. Both the dry pressed and fired density data show that the double precipitated ZrO_2 produce superior material than the more impure ZrO_2 obtained from the single, first stage precipitate ZrO_2 or impure lot of Tetra N-butyl zirconate. It was somewhat surprising to see that the surface area and crystalline size of the ZrO_2 produced from double precipitated materials had only a very minor influence on both fired and unfired density. The wet blend process may be capable of some grinding of the larger crystallites, but it is more probable that the cleaner grain boundaries promote grain growth and densification more readily than in the more impure materials.

The trend of higher calcination temperatures to yield higher fired PZ-PT densities in the first stage, single precipitated ZrO_2 , is also apparent. The fact that one 1200°C calcined ZrO_2 obtained from single precipitated Georgia zircon reached a fired density of 7.502 may relate to the fact that this zircon sand is finer and purer than the other two sources.

The impact of ZrO_2 surface area used to produce PZ-PT by the dry blending method is much more striking. The fired density of PZ-PT produced from double precipitated ZrO_2 by this blending method is compared in Figure 6 to that produced by the wet blending method. When the finer, fluffy, high surface area ZrO_2 was dry blended into a batch, the PZ-PT produced had fired densities of only 6.95-7.15 gm/cc, whereas the coarse, 2-4 M^2/gm surface area type of ZrO_2 produced PZ-PT with a 7.42-7.44 gm/cc density.

B. CHEMICAL UNIFORMITY OF FIRED PZ-PT

Use of the x-ray fluorescence technique described in Section II was a simple approach to obtain quantitative information on the chemical uniformity of the batches produced from various ZrO_2 powders and by the wet and dry blended processes. Table 5 gives the results obtained as a function of the ZrO_2 calcination temperature and zircon source. Theoretically, all compositions should have been 65.46 percent PbO , 20.38 percent ZrO_2 , 11.72 percent TiO_2 and 1.94 percent SrO with the Zr/Ti ratio of 0.53/0.47.




Figure 5. Influence of ZrO₂ surface area on the dry pressed and final PZ-PT densities.




Figure 6. The impact of PZ-PT blending procedure on final density.

Table 5. X-ray diffraction results on final PZ-PT compositions.

| | Type Blending | Weight Percent PbO | | | Mols Zr/Ti | | | Weight Percent SrO | | |
|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------|---------|-----------|
| | | Florida | Georgia | Australia | Florida | Georgia | Australia | Florida | Georgia | Australia |
| First Precipitate | Wet | 67.51 | 67.26 | 67.05 | 0.542/0.458 | 0.562/0.438 | 0.551/0.449 | 1.83 | 1.83 | 1.85 |
| | Wet | 67.37 | 67.33 | 66.55 | 0.540/0.460 | 0.545/0.455 | 0.541/0.459 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.84 |
| | Wet | 67.15 | 66.51 | 66.82 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.522/0.478 | 1.80 | 1.83 | 1.85 |
| Second Precipitate | Wet | 66.81 | 66.30 | 67.27 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.521/0.479 | 0.526/0.474 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.81 |
| | Dry | 67.44 | 66.98 | 66.97 | 0.554/0.446 | 0.536/0.464 | 0.545/0.455 | 1.88 | 1.92 | 1.86 |
| | Wet | 66.76 | 65.91 | 67.02 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 1.86 | 1.87 | 1.83 |
| 1200 | Dry | 67.37 | 66.82 | 66.73 | 0.542/0.458 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.530/0.470 | 1.75 | 1.78 | 1.87 |
| | Wet | 66.18 | 67.23 | 66.97 | 0.520/0.480 | 0.534/0.466 | 0.542/0.458 | 1.83 | 1.82 | 1.82 |
| | Dry | 67.06 | 66.54 | 67.03 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 1.90 | 1.96 | 1.83 |
| Harshaw Calcine | Wet | 67.19 | 67.04 | 66.92 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 1.80 | 1.78 | 1.79 |
| Honeywell | Wet | 66.43 | | | 0.535/0.465 | | | 1.83 | | |
| | Wet | 66.42 | | | 0.530/0.470 | | | 1.89 | | |
| | Wet | 66.91 | | | 0.547/0.453 | | | 1.82 | | |
| | Wet | 67.49 | | | 0.539/0.461 | | | 1.78 | | |
| | Wet | 66.05 | | | 0.530/0.470 | | | 1.85 | | |

These data show the presence of a consistently higher amount of PbO than the theoretical composition and the presence of lower SrO and Zr/Ti ratio. These results might indicate the need for a better set of standards; however, the variability of the SrO and Zr/Ti ratio suggests that real deviations in the chemical composition do exist in these batches. This was confirmed by analyzing the impact of Zr/Ti ratio on frequency constant. As the Zr/Ti ratio increased above 53/47, the frequency constant dropped significantly, whereas values less than 526/474 caused the frequency constant to drop.

It was also interesting to note that at any given ZrO₂ calcination temperature wet blended compositions contained less variance in the SrO present than those of similar dry blended compositions. For instance, the wet blended single stage or double stage ZrO₂ materials produced 21 PZ-PT batches with 1.78 to 1.87 percent SrO, whereas the nine dry blended batches varied from 1.75 to 1.96 percent SrO.

Such Zr/Ti ratio data as given in Table 5 will be used to help understand the piezoelectric properties obtained for the various PZ-PT batch produced in this study.

C. REPRECIPITATED ZrO₂ IN PZ-PT

This portion of the program examined the impact of additional reprecipitation processes for ZrO₂ and their influence on the fired properties of PZ-PT. Five lots of ZrO₂ from either first or second stage precipitate from each source of zircon (Florida, Georgia and Australia) were prepared. The process of redissolving the first or second stage zirconium hydroxide precipitate pulp with hot HCl and then reprecipitating with ammonium hydroxide was discussed in Section II.

It was assumed that the average particle size obtained was that of agglomerated particles of ZrO₂ or possibly the particle diameter of the zirconium hydroxide from which the ZrO₂ was derived. This appears likely because basic zirconium sulfate particles are typically about 15 μ m and the first stage precipitate particles were measured at 8-10 μ m⁽¹⁾. The advantage of the double precipitation process appears to be to break up these agglomerates to yield 1 to 3 μ m particles⁽¹⁾.

It was therefore desirable to determine if further improvements in the ZrO₂ produced for PZ-PT could be obtained with additional replications of the precipitation process.

Tables 6 and 7 give the chemical and physical property data obtained for each of the three reprocessed lots produced from the first stage precipitate calcined at 900°C, along with the data obtained previously⁽¹⁾ for the direct calcination of this material. Similarly, the two reprocessed lots of second stage precipitate are compared to the standard Harshaw calcine and the direct calcination of the double precipitate.

Table 6 indicates that our reprocessing did not improve the chemical purity over that achieved by Harshaw in their process. In fact, the first two lots of reprocessed first precipitate appear to have been contaminated by silica. The silica may have come from the pyrex glass processing ware or chemicals used; however, if this was true, it should have also occurred in the reprocessed double precipitate. Since this did not occur, the high SiO₂ values reported are expected to be poor analytical data.

Table 7 gives the data obtained on ZrO₂ particle size, surface area and bulk density. All of the reprocessed ZrO₂ generally had smaller agglomerates but lower surface areas than those calcined materials from which they were derived. This was particularly true for the reprocessed Lot No. 4 and 5 materials believed to be our best approach.

After each reprocessed ZrO₂ material was wet blended and processed into our standard PZ-PT formulation, data was obtained on the chemical, physical and electrical properties of each batch. These data are reported in Tables 8 through 14 and are compared to similar wet and dry blended ZrO₂ formulated PZ-PT batches. Table 8 gives the chemical composition of the fired PZ-PT obtained by the x-ray fluorescence approach. The results are similar to those discussed above—consistently high PbO and low SrO. The ratio of zirconium to titanium is again expected to be most useful in understanding the piezoelectric data; therefore, these results are repeated in Tables 9 through 14.

Table 9 gives the dry pressed and fired density as well as the unpoled dielectric constant of each PZ-PT batch produced. The dry pressed density of each batch containing reprocessed ZrO₂ was consistently lower than its source ZrO₂ material. Similarly, the fired density was consistently higher. Densities of above 7.4 gm/cc and as high as 7.57 gm/cc were obtained from the first stage precipitated ZrO₂ as opposed to only 6.9 gm/cc for PZ-PT batches prepared from ZrO₂ from the original precipitate. Densities of 7.53-7.59 gm/cc were also obtained for PZ-PT produced from reprocessed double precipitated ZrO₂ as opposed to 7.5 gm/cc for the original ZrO₂. The unpoled dielectric constant was generally higher with the higher densities obtained, although the actual chemical

Table 6. Chemical impurities in ZrO₂ powder.

| | Percent Si | | | | Percent Ti | | | | Percent Fe | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon |
| First Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/Pulverized | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.030 | 0.035 | 0.075 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 1 | 0.10 | 0.20 | 0.270 | 0.035 | 0.033 | 0.098 | 0.037 | 0.005 | 0.037 | 0.005 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 2 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.180 | 0.060 | 0.035 | 0.094 | 0.042 | 0.003 | 0.042 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 4 | 0.031 | 0.012 | 0.066 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.036 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| Second Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harshaw Calcine | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.010 | 0.006 | 0.004 | 0.020 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/Pulverized | <0.001 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.002 | <0.001 | 0.002 | <0.001 | <0.001 | <0.001 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 3 | 0.003 | 0.050 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 5 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.003 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.003 |

Table 7. Physical properties of ZrO₂ powders.

| | Average Particle Diameter μm | | Percent Less than 0.5 μm | | Bulk Density gm/cc | | | | Surface Area m^2/gm | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon |
| First Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/Pulverized | 10.1/0 | 9.7/0 | 7.9/0 | 1.15 | 1.08 | 1.10 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 27.3 | 25.7 | 29.4 | 29.4 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 1 | 7.4/8 | 10.1/5 | 10.0/5 | 0.850 | 0.879 | 0.820 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 15.6 | 16.6 | 21.6 | 21.6 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 2 | 2.0/23 | 8.9/9 | 5.9/15 | 0.559 | 1.027 | 0.674 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 17.5 | 10.2 | 18.8 | 18.8 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 4 | 3.0/16 | 5.8/11 | 6.7/10 | 0.749 | 0.819 | 0.776 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 10.1 | 12.5 | 11.0 | 11.0 |
| Second Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harshaw Calcine | 1.3/16 | 1.4/16 | 1.4/15 | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.67 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 23.0 | 25.0 | 21.5 | 21.5 |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/Pulverized | 1.4/15 | 1.3/18 | 2.2/18 | 0.65 | 0.51 | 0.53 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 16.1 | 15.7 | 15.7 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 3 | 1.1/23 | 1.3/25 | 5.6/16 | 0.813 | 0.741 | 0.755 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 11.3 | 12.5 | 10.8 | 10.8 |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 5 | 2.8/17 | 2.4/17 | 6.0/12 | 0.518 | 0.539 | 0.658 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 9.1 |

Table 8. Chemical composition of fired PZ-PT.

| | | Percent PbO (Theoretical 65.46 Percent) | | | | Mols Zr/Ti | | | | Percent SrO (Theoretical 1.94 Percent) | | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon |
| First Precipitate | Blending Process | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wet | 67.37 | 67.33 | 66.55 | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 1.82 | 1.82 | 1.84 | | | |
| | 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 900°C Reprocessed Lot 1 | 67.33 | 67.19 | 67.11 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.487 | 1.88 | 1.87 | 1.78 | | | |
| Second Precipitate | Wet | 66.80 | 66.77 | 67.19 | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 1.55 | 1.88 | 1.85 | | | |
| | Wet | 66.30 | 67.47 | 67.36 | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 1.85 | 1.77 | 1.82 | | | |
| | 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 900°C Reprocessed Lot 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harshaw Calcine | Wet | 67.19 | 67.04 | 66.92 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 1.80 | 1.78 | 1.79 | | | |
| | Wet | 66.76 | 65.91 | 67.02 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 1.86 | 1.87 | 1.83 | | | |
| | 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | 67.37 | 66.82 | 66.74 | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 1.75 | 1.78 | 1.87 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 3 | Wet | 67.11 | 66.41 | 67.09 | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 1.85 | 1.86 | 1.88 | | | |
| | Wet | 67.10 | 67.52 | 67.30 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 1.76 | 1.77 | 1.83 | | | |

Table 9. Density and unpoled dielectric constant of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Green Density in gm/cc | | | | Fired Density in gm/cc | | | | Dielectric Constant Unpoled | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | | |
| First Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900 C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 4.426 | 4.454 | 4.354 | 5.662 | 6.860 | 6.881 | 860 | 827 | 813 | | | |
| 900 C Reprocessed Lot 1 | Wet | 4.210 | 4.170 | 4.211 | 7.487 | 7.450 | 7.416 | 861 | 880 | 876 | | | |
| 900 C Reprocessed Lot 2 | Wet | 4.204 | 4.194 | 4.113 | 7.554 | 7.478 | 7.501 | 922 | 862 | 876 | | | |
| 900 C Reprocessed Lot 4 | Wet | 3.927 | 4.034 | 4.041 | 7.50 | 7.57 | 7.53 | 977 | 990 | 964 | | | |
| Second Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harshaw Calcine | Wet | 4.081 | 4.061 | 3.986 | 7.502 | 7.485 | 7.514 | 990 | 1005 | 992 | | | |
| 900 C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 4.105 | 4.036 | 3.972 | 7.514 | 7.514 | 7.499 | 1006 | 1010 | 991 | | | |
| 900 C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Dry | 4.238 | 4.077 | 4.151 | 7.420 | 7.474 | 7.377 | 948 | 988 | 931 | | | |
| 900 C Reprocessed Lot 3 | Wet | 3.938 | 3.908 | 4.105 | 7.528 | 7.555 | 7.551 | 973 | 947 | 889 | | | |
| 900 C Reprocessed Lot 5 | Wet | 3.861 | 3.839 | 3.900 | 7.59 | 7.590 | 7.56 | 997 | 1033 | 992 | | | |

Table 10. Poled dielectric constant of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Mols Zr/Ti | | | | Dielectric Constant Poled | | | | Percent Aging Dielectric Constant | | | |
|--|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | | |
| First Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 904 | 785 | 901 | -3.71 | -4.33 | -5.89 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 1 | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.487 | 910 | 936 | 862 | -4.78 | -5.00 | -4.55 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 2 | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 975 | 916 | 925 | -4.89 | -4.66 | -5.03 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 4 | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 1028 | 1006 | 967 | -5.45 | -5.05 | -5.03 | | | |
| Second Precipitate | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Harshaw Calcine | Wet | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 1003 | 1090 | 1044 | -4.44 | -4.66 | -3.98 | | | |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.527/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 1047 | 1045 | 1050 | -4.56 | -5.14 | -5.13 | | | |
| 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Dry | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 932 | 978 | 936 | -5.34 | -5.19 | -4.55 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 3 | Wet | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 991 | 911 | 922 | -5.89 | -4.17 | -4.15 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 5 | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 984 | 1062 | 972 | -4.33 | -5.34 | 04.26 | | | |

Table 11. Piezoelectric radial coupling coefficient of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Mols Zr/Ti | | | | Radial Coupling Factor | | | | Percent Aging Radial Coupling Factor | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon |
| First Precipitate 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 0.450 | 0.448 | 0.401 | -201 | -1.58 | -2.01 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.487 | 0.318 | 0.371 | 0.316 | -3.31 | -2.86 | -2.92 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.415 | 0.341 | 0.398 | 03.02 | 03.15 | 03.37 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.426 | 0.433 | 0.396 | -2.80 | -2.80 | -3.05 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 0.484 | 0.508 | 0.483 | -2.46 | -2.48 | -2.46 | | | |
| Second Precipitate Harshaw Calcine 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.491 | 0.490 | 0.492 | -2.08 | -2.57 | -2.34 | | | |
| | Dry | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 0.328 | 0.365 | 0.285 | -3.09 | -3.04 | -4.55 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 0.322 | 0.407 | 0.346 | -3.10 | -2.44 | -3.01 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.457 | 0.472 | 0.449 | -2.42 | -2.53 | -2.91 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 0.457 | 0.472 | 0.449 | -2.42 | -2.53 | -2.91 | | | |

Table 12. Piezoelectric frequency constant of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Mols Zr/Ti | | | | Frequency Constant Hertz-Meters | | | | Percent Aging Frequency Constant | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon |
| First Precipitate 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 2133 | 2083 | 2141 | +0.81 | +0.73 | +0.61 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.487 | 2381 | 2353 | 2389 | +0.92 | +0.99 | +0.84 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 2349 | 2385 | 2347 | +0.99 | +0.90 | +1.00 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 2305 | 2323 | 2325 | +1.16 | +1.06 | +1.07 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.525/0.474 | 2252 | 2231 | 2253 | +0.96 | +0.70 | +1.14 | | | |
| Second Precipitate Harshaw Calcine 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 2253 | 2249 | 2250 | +1.20 | +1.26 | +1.24 | | | |
| | Dry | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 2268 | 2277 | 2285 | +1.23 | +1.22 | +1.13 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 2363 | 2379 | 2421 | +1.11 | +0.82 | +0.75 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 2323 | 2291 | 2340 | +0.99 | +1.22 | +0.88 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 2323 | 2291 | 2340 | +0.99 | +1.22 | +0.88 | | | |

Table 13. Mechanical quality factor of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Mols Zr/T: | | | | Mechanical Quality Factor (Q_m) | | | | Percent Aging | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon |
| First Precipitate 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 660 | 659 | 662 | +23.6 | +9.8 | +9.1 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.480 | 1014 | 990 | 1034 | +31.1 | +29.2 | +31.9 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 1081 | 1008 | 930 | +29.3 | +28.7 | +31.2 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 722 | 875 | 910 | +32.5 | +29.6 | +29.7 | | | |
| Second Precipitate Harshaw Calcine 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 878 | 899 | 826 | +20.3 | +17.7 | +23.5 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 872 | 883 | 858 | +24.7 | +25.7 | +30.2 | | | |
| | Dry | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 738 | 863 | 883 | +40.0 | +37.8 | +35.9 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 896 | 1512 | 1395 | +32.3 | +23.3 | +27.6 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 5 | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 1005 | 770 | 1095 | +27.5 | +32.1 | +21.9 | | | |

Table 14. High drive properties of PZ-PT.

| | Blending Process | Mols Zr/T: | | | | Percent Increase in Cap./ Percent Dissipation at 10V/mil | | | | Percent Increase in Cap./ Percent Dissipation at 15V/mil | | | |
|---|------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| | | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon | From Florida Zircon | From Georgia Zircon | From Australia Zircon |
| First Precipitate 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ 900°C Reprocessed Lot 1 | Wet | 0.538/0.462 | 0.543/0.457 | 0.539/0.461 | 6.1/1.9 | 6.1/1.9 | 6.5/1.9 | 12.9/3.5 | 14.3/3.5 | 13.3/3.6 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.513/0.487 | 2.7/1.0 | 3.2/1.2 | 2.4/1.0 | 5.4/2.0 | 6.3/2.2 | 5.0/1.8 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.522/0.478 | 3.0/1.0 | 3.0/1.2 | 2.6/1.0 | 5.7/2.0 | 6.0/2.1 | 5.5/1.9 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.520/0.480 | 0.525/0.487 | 0.525/0.487 | 5.2/1.9 | 4.0/1.4 | 4.6/1.4 | 10.4/3.7 | 8.1/2.8 | 7.6/2.5 | | | |
| Second Precipitate Harshaw Calcine 900°C Harshaw Precipitate/ Pulverized | Wet | 0.527/0.473 | 0.525/0.475 | 0.526/0.474 | 4.0/1.3 | 4.3/1.4 | 2.7/1.4 | 9.8/2.9 | 11.8/3.3 | 10.7/3.2 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.525/0.475 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.525/0.475 | 3.7/1.3 | 4.7/1.6 | 4.3/1.5 | 10.5/3.0 | 11.9/3.4 | 10.6/3.2 | | | |
| | Dry | 0.542/0.458 | 0.528/0.472 | 0.530/0.470 | 4.4/1.5 | 4.4/1.5 | 4.0/1.3 | 10.3/3.1 | 9.0/2.9 | 7.7/2.5 | | | |
| | Wet | 0.526/0.474 | 0.524/0.476 | 0.518/0.482 | 4.1/1.6 | 1.9/0.5 | 2.1/0.7 | 8.5/3.0 | 3.6/1.0 | 4.2/1.4 | | | |
| 900°C Reprocessed Lot 5 | Wet | 0.522/0.478 | 0.527/0.473 | 0.522/0.478 | 3.4/1.1 | 5.0/1.9 | 3.3/1.0 | 7.0/2.4 | 10.6/3.2 | 5.9/2.0 | | | |

composition may have had some impact on some of the PZ-PT compositions obtained from ZrO_2 generated from reprocessed double precipitate.

The poled dielectric constant and aging rate of each PZ-PT batch compounded from the various types of ZrO_2 are given in Table 10. The increase in dielectric constant was more dramatic in those batches of PZ-PT compounded from reprecipitated ZrO_2 which originated from the first stage zirconium hydroxide. This was caused by the significant improvement in density with these materials. The aging rate was also significantly higher because these materials were more thoroughly polarized. Improvements obtained in PZ-PT from reprocessed ZrO_2 derived from double precipitated zirconium hydroxide were more variable and dependent upon not only the fired density obtained but the specific Zr/Ti ratio and composition obtained.

Data on the piezoelectric radial coupling coefficient is given in Table 11. In general, the coupling coefficient was lower than that obtained in the PZ-PT produced from the reprecipitated ZrO_2 materials. It is not clear why this occurred. Possibly, the more effective blending obtained with the reprocessed materials and higher densities shifts the point at which the Zr/Ti ratio must be optimized for maximum coupling coefficient. Aging rate again was highest for compositions with higher amounts of rhombohedral phase (higher Zr/Ti ratio) PZ-PT.

Similar conclusions can also be drawn from the data shown in Tables 12 through 14 for frequency constant, mechanical quality factor and the percent increase in capacitance and dissipation factor at high driving fields. For instance, Figure 7 shows the frequency constant as a function of Zr/Ti ratio. PZ-PT produced from ZrO_2 , which gave fired densities of 7.45 to 7.59 gm/cc and a Zr/Ti ratio of 0.52/0.48 to 0.53/0.47, had a frequency constant of 2220 to 2380 hertz-meters. Lower amounts of ZrO_2 produced slightly higher frequency constants, while higher amounts of ZrO_2 produced significantly lower frequency constants. Lower densities also tended to yield PZ-PT with lower frequency constants. These results are consistent with those normally associated with PZ-PT compositions. The aging rate for each property was also consistent with the composition and extent of polarization obtained.

D. COPRECIPITATION OF PZ-PT

It was shown above that the ZrO_2 particle and agglomerate size and the uniform distribution of ZrO_2 in the PZ-PT are important factors in controlling the properties of PZ-PT




Figure 7. Zr/Ti ratio versus frequency constant for PZ-PT produced from various ZrO₂.

piezoelectric ceramic. The generation of PZ-PT from chemical solutions should avoid both of these problems by simultaneous precipitation of ZrO₂, TiO₂, SrO and iron oxide. Such an approach would provide mixing on a molecular scale, and the particle size of the solid solution compounds of these materials would probably not be important in the early stages of processing. It should also produce more uniform fired behavior in the PZ-PT produced. Since PbO has a high mobility during the calcination process, co-precipitation of PbO in the batch may not be necessary.

Morgan⁽⁴⁾ recently gave an excellent review of the basic approach of chemical processing of ceramics and points out, "It is often easier to change the starting powder than embark upon prolonged studies of why a particular source material behaves as it does."

He also points to a common ceramicist's myth that liquid chemical techniques for powders are expensive and not easily adaptable to the large scale ceramic processes. The irony of this situation is that the TiO_2 used in PZ-PT has been prepared by liquid chemical techniques in combination with barium and other pigments for years in the paint industry. Also, Zr is in chemical solution very early in the process for producing pure ZrO_2 .

Several groups have studied the use of chemical solution processes in order to obtain more commercially acceptable or a superior optical quality PZ-PT material. Wright coordinated an extensive effort at the Canadian Department of Mines in the early 1960's⁽⁵⁾ to produce PZ-PT via the process of mixing solutions of $\text{Pb}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, $\text{Zr}(\text{NO}_3)_4$, and $\text{Ti}(\text{NO}_3)_4$, and then precipitation with $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{CO}_3$ and NH_4OH , ammonium gas or $\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4$ (oxalic acid). However, they experienced difficulties in producing fine, friable calcined material suitable for further ceramic processing and were concerned over the acidic nature of the nitrates evolved during calcining.

Mulder⁽⁶⁾ has shown that Ti, Zr, Pb, and other compounds can be dissolved with citric acid and then processed into PZ-PT. This was accomplished by dissolving purified hydroxide with ammonia and citric acid. Unfortunately, Ba and Sr form insoluble citrates and precipitate too rapidly to use in this process. However, he noted that barium formate and ammonium titanyl citrate remain in solution many hours. The aqueous solutions were spray dried into alcohol. In this process it was necessary to control (1) the acidity of initial aqueous citrate solution, (2) the final water content of alcohol after precipitation, (3) the type of alcohol used, and (4) the drying technique of powder.

Mazdiyasni⁽⁷⁾ demonstrated a process for producing ZrO_2 and BaTiO_3 by the simultaneous hydrolytic decomposition of metal organic alkoxide compounds of $\text{Zr}(\text{OC}_3\text{H}_7)_4$, $\text{Ba}(\text{OC}_3\text{H}_7)_2$ and $\text{Ti}(\text{OC}_3\text{H}_7)_4$ in water. This general approach was then studied in more detail at Sandia⁽⁸⁾ to produce PZ-PT from lead oxide, tetra N-butyl zirconate and tetra N-butyl titanate. By further doping with lanthanum acetate, a lanthanum doped PLZT was obtained which could be calcined and hot pressed into an optically transparent ferroelectric material. This process was first used on a production basis at Honeywell. Although the materials required are very expensive, it has been demonstrated that coprecipitation of PZ-PT can be used in production.

The three approaches of trying to make complete PZ-PT batches by chemical solution methods appeared to show promise; however, incomplete decomposition took place at

lower temperature. At normal temperatures the calcined material reacted together so thoroughly that grinding of this material was not considered practical. While these techniques were not successful, the progress made appears to warrant consideration for a larger more concentrated program on the chemical solution preparation of PZ-PT.

The effort on chemical solution approaches was limited to those where ZrO_2 and TiO_2 were mixed as tetra N-butyl zirconate (TNBZ) or titanate (TNBT), calcined at 500°C and then wet blended into the PZ-PT composition and processed by conventional approaches. Table 15 compares the second and third groups of ZrO_2 materials prepared for this portion of the program. The purity of the TNBZ was typical of that used in production of PLZT ceramics as opposed to the impure TNBZ used previously.⁽¹⁾ Table 16 gives the chemical uniformity of the PZ-PT produced from the coprecipitated $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ produced. Batch Nos. 6643 and 6644 were formulated within the 53/47 $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ material produced where the TiO_2 was not compensated for in the batch. This was also true in Batches 6641 and 6642. Batch Nos. 6646-6649 were properly made. Therefore, the $\text{ZrO}_2 \cdot \text{TiO}_2$ in Nos. 6648 and 6649 were correctly formulated. X-ray fluorescence data for each of these is given in Table 16.

Note that the standard deviations obtained for each major oxide examined in these batches using TNBZ derived ZrO_2 and TiO_2 was significantly lower than those samples from a single batch of parts produced from ZrO_2 as in Table 3. The Zr/Ti ratio obtained was consistently lower (51/49 versus 53/47) than desired. Apparently, this was caused by improper compensation for impurities in the ZrO_2 .

Table 15 gives the percent loss on ignition, bulk density, particle size and surface area of each $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ combination prepared. These are also compared to the previously⁽¹⁾ prepared TNBZ ZrO_2 . The average agglomerate size was about three microns, whereas the surface varied between 26 to 56 M^2/gm . Bulk densities were about 1.0 gm/cc except 0.7 gm/cc for the 53/47 $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ material.

Table 17 gives the properties of the PZ-PT batches processed from all of the various chemically prepared $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ materials in this program. The high purity materials produced PZ-PT with a fired density consistently above 7.54 gm/cc compared to 7.41 to 7.44 gm/cc for PZ-PT with the impure TNBZ ZrO_2 materials. Where 53/47 coprecipitated $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ was used, densities of 7.60 gm/cc were achieved—the highest obtained in this program at the 1280°C firing temperatures. While the low Zr/Ti

Table 15. Properties of TNBZ/TNBT derived ZrO_2/TiO_2 powders.

| ZrO_2/TiO_2 Cal. No. | Wt Percent TiO_2 Added to ZrO_2 | Percent Loss on Ignition | Bulk Density gm/cc | Average Particle Diameter μm | Percent Particles Less than 0.5 μm | Surface Area M^2/gm |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|-----------------------------|
| 2168 | 0 | 0.86 | 0.98 | 2.1 | 11 | 47.2 |
| 3177 | 0 | 1.00 | 1.10 | 3.8 | 4 | 26.7 |
| 2169 | 0.02 | 0.99 | 0.94 | 2.0 | 9 | 54.0 |
| 2170 | 0.08 | 0.89 | 1.00 | 2.8 | 8 | 56.2 |
| 3178 | 0.10 | 1.06 | 1.04 | 4.8 | 3 | 31.0 |
| 2171 | 0.18 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 2.2 | 10 | 45.7 |
| 2172 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 1.03 | 2.6 | 6 | 53.2 |
| 3179 | 0.40 | 0.96 | 1.05 | 4.3 | 4 | 41.9 |
| 3180 | 36.50 | 9.92 | 0.76 | 3.0 | 1 | 40.0 |
| 3181 | 36.50 | 1.07 | 0.69 | 2.8 | 0 | 33.2 |
| FH* | 0 | 0.55 | 0.66 | 1.3 | 16 | 23.0 |

* Harshaw Calcine Produced From Florida Zircon by Standard Process.

Table 16. Chemical uniformity of TNBZ/TNBT coprecipitated PZ-PT batches.

| Batch No. | Amt. TiO_2 | Percent PbO | Percent ZrO_2 | Percent TiO_2 | Percent SrO | Zr/Ti Ratio |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
| 6625 | | 66.38 | 19.57 | 12.17 | 1.88 | 0.527/0.473 |
| 6640 | | 66.21 | 19.69 | 12.23 | 1.87 | 0.510/0.490 |
| 6650 | | 66.58 | 19.38 | 12.16 | 1.87 | 0.507/0.493 |
| 6641 | 0.1 | 66.24 | 19.64 | 12.24 | 1.88 | 0.510/0.490 |
| 6646 | 0.1 | 66.55 | 19.34 | 12.28 | 1.83 | 0.506/0.494 |
| 6642 | 0.4 | 66.43 | 19.51 | 12.22 | 1.84 | 0.507/0.493 |
| 6647 | 0.4 | 66.84 | 19.29 | 12.16 | 1.81 | 0.507/0.493 |
| 6648 | 53/47 | 66.70 | 19.38 | 12.12 | 1.80 | 0.508/0.492 |
| 6649 | 53/47 | 66.56 | 19.42 | 12.15 | 1.87 | 0.510/0.490 |
| X | | 66.48 | 19.48 | 12.19 | 1.85 | 0.510/0.490 |
| Y | | 66.17 | 19.13 | 12.05 | 1.83 | 0.510/0.490 |
| 6643 | 53/47 | 63.19 | 16.85 | 18.15 | 1.81 | 0.375/0.625 |
| 6644 | 53/47 | 62.49 | 15.40 | 20.32 | 1.79 | 0.325/0.675 |

Table 17. Fired piezoelectric properties of PZ-PT prepared from coprecipitated ZrO_2/TiO_2 .

| Zr/Ti Ratio Batch No. | Weight Percent TiO_2 Added Via ZrO_2 | Pressed Density 1 gm/cc | Fired Density gm/cc | | Unpoled Dielectric Constant | | Poled Dielectric Constant | | Radial Piezo Coupling Coeff | | Frequency Constant Cycle-Meters | | Mechanical Quality Factor | |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|--------------------------------|--------|------------------------------------|--------|------------------------------|--------|
| | | | 1280°C | 1320°C | 1280°C | 1320°C | 1280°C | 1320°C | 1280°C | 1320°C | 1280°C | 1320°C | 1280°C | 1320°C |
| 0.535 | 0 | 4.26 | 7.409 | 932 | 1038 | 1038 | 1038 | 1038 | 0.455 | 0.455 | 2228 | 2228 | 915 | 915 |
| 0.510 | 0 | 4.07 | 7.538 | 941 | 998 | 998 | 998 | 998 | 0.236 | 0.236 | 2344 | 2344 | 712 | 712 |
| 0.507 | 0 | 4.06 | 7.595 | 977 | 914 | 914 | 914 | 914 | 0.205 | 0.205 | 2353 | 2317 | 622 | 651 |
| 0.520 | 0.02 | 4.26 | 7.414 | 920 | 994 | 994 | 994 | 994 | 0.440 | 0.440 | 2253 | 2253 | 817 | 817 |
| 0.547 | 0.08 | 4.23 | 7.415 | 925 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 1031 | 0.451 | 0.451 | 2237 | 2237 | 860 | 860 |
| 0.510 | 0.10 | 4.07 | 7.537 | 997 | 1060 | 1060 | 1060 | 1060 | 0.269 | 0.269 | 2303 | 2303 | 796 | 796 |
| 0.506 | 0.10 | 4.18 | 7.595 | 958 | 924 | 924 | 924 | 924 | 0.258 | 0.258 | 2348 | 2348 | 735 | 689 |
| 0.539 | 0.18 | 4.25 | 7.406 | 932 | 955 | 955 | 955 | 955 | 0.464 | 0.464 | 2212 | 2212 | 795 | 795 |
| 0.530 | 0.36 | 4.22 | 7.434 | 923 | 1001 | 1001 | 1001 | 1001 | 0.437 | 0.437 | 2252 | 2252 | 930 | 930 |
| 0.507 | 0.40 | 4.09 | 7.563 | 957 | 1019 | 1019 | 1019 | 1019 | 0.230 | 0.230 | 2346 | 2346 | 767 | 767 |
| 0.507 | 0.40 | 4.14 | 7.593 | 944 | 925 | 925 | 925 | 925 | 0.266 | 0.266 | 2336 | 2303 | 714 | 675 |
| 0.375 | 36.5 | 4.15 | 7.535 | 202 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 207 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 0.508 | 36.5 | 4.13 | 7.607 | 1018 | 1093 | 1093 | 1093 | 1093 | 0.248 | 0.248 | 2321 | 2276 | 658 | 650 |
| 0.325 | 36.5 | 4.19 | 7.535 | 260 | 266 | 266 | 266 | 266 | 0.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - |
| 0.510 | 36.5 | 4.11 | 7.611 | 1023 | 961 | 961 | 961 | 961 | 0.244 | 0.244 | 2326 | 2276 | 671 | 614 |
| 0.527 | ** | 4.06 | 7.512 | 963 | 935 | 935 | 935 | 935 | 0.430 | 0.430 | 2272 | 2221 | 733 | 707 |

* Excessive TiO_2 added to batch (amount added via ZrO_2 not compensated)

** Harshaw Calcined ZrO_2 produced by Standard Process from Florida Zircon

compositions produced inferior PZ-PT, the properties obtained are typical for the Zr/Ti ratios in these compositions. The impact of a higher firing temperature, 1320°C, is also shown to improve the piezoelectric behavior. Very excellent reproducibility was obtained in Batch Nos. 6648 and 6649, which used the coprecipitated 53/47 Zr/Ti ratio. Therefore, it can be concluded that chemical solution mixing approaches of the Zr and Ti will in themselves lead to a greatly improved PZ-PT.

IV. Summary and Conclusions

The chemical and physical properties of zirconium oxide have been shown to have a pronounced influence on the piezoelectric properties obtained in lead zirconate-lead titanate ceramics. Strongly bound agglomerated particles formed were about 10 to 15 μm in diameter and composed of 0.02 μm ZrO_2 crystallites. The standard alkali fusion process produced such material after the first stage precipitate of the zirconium hydroxide was calcined at about 900°C. The ZrO_2 produced at this stage also contained substantial amounts of silica and calcia impurities. Normal micropulverization techniques of the calcined ZrO_2 material and wet ball milling mixing procedures used with the PZ-PT batch did not disintegrate the ZrO_2 agglomerates sufficiently to produce a well distributed dispersion of ZrO_2 in the TiO_2 , SrO and PbO . Thus, an effective method was not obtained for producing PZ-PT from this partially processed ZrO_2 .

When the first stage zirconia hydroxide was redissolved in hot hydrochloric acid and reprecipitated with ammonium hydroxide, a second stage zirconium hydroxide material was obtained; this was much lower in silica and calcia and could be calcined and micronized to produce agglomerated particles 1 to 2 μm in diameter. This second stage material could be wet blended into a PZ-PT batch that produced good high drive piezoelectric material. A procedure was established to perform the second stage precipitation process, which produced ZrO_2 essentially comparable to that produced by Harshaw's standard process. The second stage zirconium hydroxide, produced by Harshaw, was also reprocessed with $\text{HCl}/\text{NH}_4\text{OH}$ reprecipitation process, but when the triple precipitated zirconium hydroxide was calcined and micronized, no further improvement in the ZrO_2 or PZ-PT was apparent.

The above results were essentially the same for ZrO_2 produced from three different sources of zircon. However, the fineness of the zircon sand appeared to have some impact on the ZrO_2 produced from the first stage zirconium hydroxide precipitate. The finer, purer Georgia zircon produced the best ZrO_2 and PZ-PT ceramics. More complete solution ceramic mixing and coprecipitation were initially investigated. While these approaches appeared attractive, they were not developed to the stage where good PZ-PT compositions were produced.

Coprecipitation of zirconium and titanium from their tetra N-butyl solutions was used to produce a $\text{ZrO}_2/\text{TiO}_2$ mixture and PZ-PT with superior densities at low temperatures. The purity of the ZrO_2 and the exact Zr/Ti ratio of the PZ-PT materials produced had a pronounced influence on the piezoelectric behavior of the ceramic obtained.

V. Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the encouragement and guidance provided by Dr. Bob Pohanka of the Office of Naval Research and the technical monitor for this program. The authors are very appreciative of the splendid cooperation of the Harshaw Chemical Company and Dr. C.A. Seabright, et al, whose subcontracted effort on this program provided many of the ZrO_2 process variations.

References

1. W.B. Harrison, "Effect of ZrO_2 Source Variations on $PbZrO_3$ - $PbTiO_3$ Piezoelectric Properties," Technical Report No. 1, ONR N00014-76-C-0623, Honeywell Inc., December 1977.
2. Benjamin Lustman and Frank Kerze, Jr., "The Metallurgy of Zirconium," First Edition, McGraw-Hill, p. 68-75, New York 1955.
3. K.T. Whitby, "A Rapid General Purpose Centrifuge Sedimentation Method for Measurement of Size Distribution of Small Particles," Trans, Am. Soc. of Heating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Vol. 61, p. 33, 1955.
4. P.E.D. Morgan, "Chemical Processing for Ceramics (and Polymers)," Proc. 14th Univ. Conf. On Cer. Sci-Processing of Crystalline Ceramics, Plenum Press, New York, p. 67-77, 1977.
5. V.M. McNamara and W.A. Gow, "A Chemical Precipitation Method for the Production of a Homogeneous, High Purity Powder Applicable to the Lead Zirconate Titanate Solid Solution Series," Canada Dept. of Mines and Tech. Surveys, Ottawa Report IR63-39, April 1963.
6. B.J. Mulder, "Preparation of $BaTiO_3$ and Other Ceramic Powders by Coprecipitation of Citrates in an Alcohol," Bull. Am. Cer. Soc. 49(11) 990-993, 1970.
7. K.S. Mazdiasni, C.T. Lynch and J.S. Smith, "Preparation of Ultra-High-Purity Submicron Refractory Oxides," J. Am. Cer. Soc., 48(7) 372-375, 1965.
8. G.H. Haertling and C.E. Land, "Recent Improvements in the Optical and Electro-optic Properties of PLZT Ceramics," Ferroelectrics 3(2,3,4) p. 269-280, 1972.

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST
Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|---------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Defense Documentation Center Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314 | (12) | Naval Air Development Center Code 302 Warminster, Pennsylvania 18974 Attn: Mr. F.S. Williams | (1) |
| Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Attn: Code 471 Code 102 Code 470 | (1) (1) (1) | Naval Air Propulsion Test Center Trenton, New Jersey 08628 Attn: Library | (1) |
| Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 495 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210 | (1) | Naval Construction Battalion Civil Engineering Laboratory Port Hueneme, California 93043 | (1) |
| Commanding Officer Office of Naval Research Branch Office 536 South Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605 | (1) | Naval Electronics Laboratory Center San Diego, California 92152 Attn: Electron Materials Sciences Division | (1) |
| Office of Naval Research San Francisco Area Office 760 Market Street, Room 447 San Francisco, California 94102 Attn: Dr. P. A. Miller | (1) | Naval Missile Center Materials Consultant Code 3312-1 Point Mugu, California 93041 | (1) |
| Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20390 Attn: Code 6000 Code 6100 Code 6300 Code 6400 Code 2627 | (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) | Commanding Officer Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, Maryland 20910 Attn: Library | (1) |
| | | David W. Taylor Naval Ship R&D Center Materials Department Annapolis, Maryland 21402 | (1) |
| | | Naval Undersea Center San Diego, California 92132 Attn: Library | (1) |

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Naval Underwater System Center Newport, Rhode Island 02840 Attn: Library | (1) | Army Research Office Box CM, Duke Station Durham, North Carolina 27706 Attn: Metallurgy & Ceramics Div. | (1) |
| Naval Weapons Center China Lake, California 93555 Attn: Library | (1) | Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, Massachusetts 02172 Attn: Res. Programs Office (AMXMR-P) | (1) |
| Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940 Attn: Mechanical Engineering Dept. | (1) | Air Force Office of Scientific Research Bldg. 410 Bolling Air Force Base Washington, D.C. 20332 Attn: Chemical Science Directorate | (1) |
| Naval Air Systems Command Washington, D.C. 20360 Attn: Code 52031 Code 52032 Code 320 | (1) (1) (1) | Electronics and Solid State Sciences Directorate | (1) |
| Naval Sea System Command Washington, D.C. 20362 Attn: Code 035 | (1) | Air Force Materials Lab (LA) Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, Ohio 45433 | (1) |
| Naval Facilities Engineering Command Alexandria, Virginia 22331 Attn: Code 03 | (1) | NASA Headquarters Washington, D.C. 20546 Attn: Code RRM | (1) |
| Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps Washington, D.C. 20380 Attn: Code AX | (1) | NASA Lewis Research Center 21000 Brookpark Road Cleveland, Ohio 44135 Attn: Library | (1) |
| Naval Ship Engineering Center Department of the Navy CTR BG # 2 3700 East-West Highway Prince Georges Plaza Hyattsville, Maryland 20782 Attn: Engineering Materials and Services Office, Code 6101 | (1) | National Bureau of Standards Washington, D.C. 20234 Attn: Metallurgy Division Inorganic Materials Division | (1) (1) |

BASIC DISTRIBUTION LIST (Concluded)
Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Defense Metals and Ceramics Information Center Battelle Memorial Institute 505 King Avenue Columbus, Ohio 43201 | (1) | Brookhaven National Laboratory Technical Information Division Upton, Long Island New York 11973 Attn: Research Library | (1) |
| Director Ordnance Research Laboratory P. O. Box 30 State College, Pennsylvania 16801 | (1) | Library Building 50 Room 134 Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Berkeley, California | (1) |
| Director Applied Physics Laboratory University of Washington 1013 Northeast Fortieth Street Seattle, Washington 98105 | (1) | | |
| Metals and Ceramics Division Oak Ridge National Laboratory P. O. Box X Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37380 | (1) | | |
| Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory P. O. Box 1663 Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544 Attn: Report Librarian | (1) | | |
| Argonne National Laboratory Metallurgy Division P. O. Box 229 Lemont, Illinois 60439 | (1) | | |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Dr. W. F. Adler Effects Technology Inc. 5383 Hollister Avenue P.O. Box 30400 Santa Barbara, CA 92105 | (1) | Dr. Dean Buckner Piezo Products Division Gulton Industries P.O. Box 4300 Fullerton, CA 92634 | (1) |
| Dr. G. Bansal Battelle 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201 | (1) | Dr. Robert Callahan Channel Industries 839 Ward Drive Box 3680 Santa Barbara, CA 93105 | (1) |
| Professor Michael Bell Yeshiva University Belfer Graduate School of Science New York, NY 10033 | (1) | Professor L. E. Cross The Pennsylvania State University Materials Research Lab. University Park, PA 16802 | (1) |
| Dr. Don Berlincourt Channel Products 16722 Park Circle Dr. W. Chagrin Falls, OH 44022 | (1) | Mr. N. Coda Vice President for Engineering Erie Technological Products West College Avenue State College, PA 16801 | (1) |
| Dr. J. V. Biggers Pennsylvania State Univ. Materials Research Lab. University Park, PA 16802 | (1) | Dr. A. G. Evans Rockwell International P.O. Box 1085 1049 Camino Dos Rios Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 | (1) |
| Mr. George Boyer Sensor Systems Program Office of Naval Research Code 222 Arlington, VA 22217 | (1) | Mr. E. Fisher Ford Motor Company Dearborn, MI | (1) |
| Professor R. Bradt Ceramics Section Materials Sciences Dept. The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802 | (1) | Dr. P. Giellisse University of Rhode Island Kingston, RI 02881 | (1) |
| Dr. R. Bratton Westinghouse Research Lab. Pittsburgh, PA 15235 | (1) | Dr. M. E. Gulden International Harvester Co. Solar Division 2200 Pacific Highway San Diego, CA 92138 | (1) |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|--------------------------|---|--------------------------|
| Dr. Gene Haertling Motorola Corporation 3434 Vassar N. E. Albuquerque, NM 87107 | (1) | Dr. P. Jorgensen Stanford Research Institute Poulter Laboratory Menlo Park, CA 94025 | (1) |
| Dr. W. B. Harrison Honeywell Ceramics Center 1885 Douglas Drive Golden Valley, MN 55422 | (1) | Dr. R. N. Katz Army Materials and Mechanics Research Center Watertown, MA 02171 | (1) |
| Dr. D. P. H. Hasselman Virginia Polytechnic Inst. Dept. of Materials Sciences Blacksburg, VA | (1) | Dr. H. Kirchner Ceramic Finishing Company P. O. Box 498 State College, PA 16801 | (1) |
| Mr. G. Hayes Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555 | (1) | Dr. B. Koepke Honeywell, Inc. Corporate Research Center 500 Washington Avenue South Hopkins, MN 55343 | (1) |
| Professor A. H. Heuer Case Western Reserve University University Circle Cleveland, OH 44106 | (1) | Mr. Frank Koubek Naval Surface Weapons Center White Oak Laboratory Silver Spring, MD 20910 | (1) |
| Dr. F. Robert Hill Marine Resources 755 Highway 17 & 92 Fern Park, FL 32730 | (1) | E. Krafft Carborundum Company Niagara Falls, NY | (1) |
| Dr. R. Hoagland Battelle 505 King Avenue Columbus, OH 43201 | (1) | Dr. F. F. Lange Rockwell International P. O. Box 1085 1049 Camino Dos Rios Thousand Oaks, CA 91360 | (1) |
| Dr. Bernard Jaffe 232 Forbes Road Bedford, OH 44146 | (1) | Dr. J. Lankford Southwest Research Institute 8500 Culebra Road San Antonio, TX 78284 | (1) |
| Dr. R. Jaffee Electric Power Research Institute Palo Alto, CA | (1) | | |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Dr. R. Lapetina Edo Western Corporation 2645 South 300 West Salt Lake City, UT 84115 | (1) | Dr. F. Markarian Naval Weapons Center China Lake, CA 93555 | (1) |
| Mr. C. LeBlanc Naval Underwater Systems Center TD 121 Newport, RI 02840 | (1) | Dr. Perry A. Miles Raytheon Company Research Division 28 Seyon Street Waltham, MA 02154 | (1) |
| Library Norton Company Industrial Ceramics Division Worcester, MA 01606 | (1) | Dr. N. Perrone Code 474 Office of Naval Research 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, VA 22217 | (1) |
| Dr. R. E. Loehman University of Florida Ceramics Division Gainesville, FL 32601 | (1) | Dr. R. Pohanka Naval Research Laboratory Code 6361 Washington, DC 20375 | (1) |
| State University of New York College of Ceramics at Alfred University Attn: Library Alfred, NY 14802 | (1) | Dr. Frank Recny General Electric Company Court Street Plant Building C Box 1122 Syracuse, NY 13201 | (1) |
| Dr. L. Hench Department of Metallurgy University of Florida Ceramics Division Gainesville, FL 32603 | (1) | Mr. R. Rice Naval Research Laboratory Code 6360 Washington, D. C. 20375 | (1) |
| Professor P. B. Macedo The Catholic University of America Washington, DC 20017 | (1) | Dr. J. Ritter University of Massachusetts Dept. of Mechanical Engineering Amherst, MA 01002 | (1) |
| Dr. N. MacMillan Materials Research Lab. Pennsylvania State Univ. College Park, PA 16802 | (1) | Dr. J. H. Rosolowski General Electric Company Research and Development Ctr P. O. Box 8 Schenectady, NY 02301 | (1) |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Professor R. Roy Pennsylvania State Univ. Materials Research Lab. University Park, PA 16802 | (1) | Dr. T. Vasilos AVCO Corporation Research and Advanced Development Division 201 Lowell Street Wilmington, MA 01887 | (1) |
| Dr. R. Ruh AFML Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) | Dr. Charles C. Walker Naval Sea Systems Command National Center No. 3 2531 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 20390 | (1) |
| Mr. J. Schuldies AiResearch Phoenix, AZ | (1) | Mr. J. D. Walton Engineering Experiment Station Georgia Institute of Technology Atlanta, GA 30332 | (1) |
| Dr. J. H. Simmons Catholic University of America Washington, DC 20064 | (1) | Dr. Paul D. Wilcox Sandia Laboratories Division 2521 Albuquerque, NM 87115 | (1) |
| Dr. P. L. Smith Naval Research Laboratory Code 6361 Washington, DC 20375 | (1) | Dr. S. M. Widerhorn Inorganic Materials Division National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC 20234 | (1) |
| Professor G. Sines University of California, Los Angeles Los Angeles, CA 90024 | (1) | The State University of New York at Alfred Material Sciences Division Alfred, NY | (1) |
| Dr. N. Tallan AFML Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) | Dr. S. A. Bortz IITRI 10 W. 35th Street Chicago, IL 60616 | (1) |
| Dr. R. W. Timme Naval Research Laboratory Code 8275 Underwater Sound Reference Division P. O. Box 8337 Orlando, FL 32806 | (1) | | |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST (Continued)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> | <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|--|--------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Mr. G. Schmitt Air Force Materials Lab. Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) | Major W. Simmons Air Force Office of Scientific Research Building 410 Bolling Air Force Base Washington, DC 20332 | (1) |
| Dr. D.A. Shockey Stanford Research Institute Poulter Laboratory Menlo Park, CA 94025 | (1) | Dr. P. Becher Naval Research Laboratory Code 6362 Washington, DC 20375 | (1) |
| Dr. W.G.D. Frederick Air Force Materials Lab. Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) | Mr. L.B. Weckesser Applied Physics Laboratory Johns Hopkins Road Laurel, MD 20810 | (1) |
| Dr. P. Land Air Force Materials Lab. Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) | Mr. D. Richardson AiResearch Manufacturing Co. 4023 36th Street P.O. Box 5217 Phoenix, AZ 85010 | (1) |
| Mr. K. Letson Redstone Arsenal Huntsville, AL 35809 | (1) | Dr. H.E. Bennett Naval Weapons Center Code 3818 China Lake, CA 93555 | (1) |
| Dr. S. Freiman Naval Research Laboratory Code 6363 Washington, DC 20375 | (1) | Mr. G. Denman Air Force Materials Laboratory Code LPJ Wright-Patterson AFB Dayton, OH 45433 | (1) |
| Director Materials Sciences Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency 1400 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22209 | (1) | Dr. D. Godfrey Admiralty Materials Laboratory Polle, Dorset BH16 6JU United Kingdom | (1) |
| Dr. James Pappis Raytheon Company Research Division 28 Seyon Street Waltham, MA 02154 | (1) | | |

SUPPLEMENTARY DISTRIBUTION LIST (Concluded)

Technical and Summary Reports

| <u>Organization</u> | <u>No. of Copies</u> |
|---|--------------------------|
| Dr. N. Corney Ministry of Defense The Adelphi John Adam Street London WC2N 6BB United Kingdom | (1) |
| Dr. L. M. Gillin Aeronautical Research Laboratory P. O. Box 4331 Fisherman's Bend Melbourne, VIC 3001 Australia | (1) |

8
DTIC